

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT
Rm 120 Municipal Services Building
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685
FAX: (215) 686-4716

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Hugh Ortman
Procurement Commissioner

January 26, 2010

BID NUMBER: S1Z56570
TITLE: Water Treatment Chemicals
DEPARTMENT: Various
DATE TO OPEN: February 17, 2010 at 10:30 AM

ADDENDUM # 1

TO ALL BIDDERS:

You are hereby notified of the following changes to the above mentioned bid:

Page 17, para. 2.8.1, Pre-Certification - shall read as follows:
Bureau of Laboratory Services
1500 E. Hunting Park Avenue.
Philadelphia, PA 19124
Mr. Louis Lendvay
215-685-1443

Please sign, date and return this addendum with your bid to the Procurement Department, 1401 J.F.K Boulevard, Bid Room 170A, Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685 as it now becomes a part of the proposal.

Buyer, D. Isaac

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

FIRM NAME (PRINT)

DATE

DI/cs

PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT
Rm 120 Municipal Services Building
Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685
FAX: (215) 686-4716

CITY OF PHILADELPHIA

Hugh Ortman
Procurement Commissioner

February 9, 2010

BID NUMBER: S1Z56570
TITLE: Water Treatment Chemicals
DEPARTMENT: Water
DATE TO OPEN: February 17, 2010 at 10:30 A.M.

ADDENDUM # 2

TO ALL BIDDERS:

You are hereby notified of the following changes to the above mentioned bid:

Changes, additions and deletions to the bid document:

Page 24 Item 2.8.7, third paragraph and Page 25, Item 2.8.8, third paragraph:

Delete: **Material must be bid on the basis of dry tonnage of FeCL₃ in ferric.** Bid price shall include all delivery, demurrage and spotting costs. Material must be certified with ANSI/NSF Standard 60 by an approved agency.

Replace with: **Materials must be bid on the basis of tonnage of Trivalent iron contained in ferric. Bid price shall include all delivery, demurrage and spotting costs.**

The Unit of measure for Pages 74 and 75, items 5.8-5.12 shall be changed to LB.

Page 80, Item 5.46, 30730 031 434 01-Sodium Hypochlorite... Three (3) to Nine (9) Drums is deleted in its entirety.

Questions and Answers:

Question 1. In general, for ferric chloride and ferric chloride with sulfate enrichment, it appears that PWD attempted to revert back to dry FeCl₃ pricing but did not make the appropriate change in all places intended. Last year the bid was changed back to tons Fe and with our new computer system, we are now able to bill on that basis, but perhaps this is not the case with other vendors.

Answer 1. See response to Question 3.

- Question 2. On page 24 of 81, 2.8.7- states material must be bid on the basis of dry tonnage of FeC13 in ferric-what does “in ferric” mean? Does this mean “in ferric chloride liquid: or does that not need to be there?
- Answer 2. See answer to question 3.
- Question 3. On page 24 of 81, 2.8.7 - states same as the above comment so the same question goes. Also the quantities stated are in Tons Fe and add up to 4173 tons as Fe and I highly suspect and error for Queen Lane at 150 tons Fe. Also under the other possible delivery locations it states that the basis of award will be based on the lowest price per ton of trivalent iron which shall include the price per ton per delivery.
- Answer 3. **For 2.8.7 and 2.8.8 (see above paragraph deleting and replacing with). Materials must be bid on the basis of tonnage of Trivalent iron contained in ferric.** Bid price shall include all delivery, demurrage and spotting costs.
- Queen Lane is 1650 tons. All prices bid include cost of delivery.
- Question 4. On page 26 of 81, 2.8.9 - will the award of ferric tank cleaning be a stand alone award-not hinging on the chemical award? Are tank cleaning vendors also being sent the bid and what if no chemical vendors put in a price? As chemical manufactures, we are not necessarily in the business” of tank cleaning per say and though a manufacturer may have some inside capability to do this work or would look to an outside source for such work, a manufacturer would certainly not be looking to clean out material from another manufacturer. Why was this added back into the bid? Should this have been handled separately from this chemical bid?
- Answer 4. The tank cleaning is a stand alone commodity. PWD reserves the right to add or delete commodities to its bids as it sees fit.
- Question 5. On page 75 of 81 item 5.13 and 5.14 - quantity is 4700 tons-this is as Fe and not the way the bid states to quote (also refer to question #3) so totals will not come out right if we have to quote on a dry ton as FeC13.
- Answer 5. The total is 4700 tons once you have the correct amount for Queen Lane of 1650 and the previous is corrected.
- Question 6. On page 75 of 81 item 5.14 - same comment as above.
- Answer 6. See answer to question 5, above.
- Question 7. On section 3.7 - I’m not sure why a sulfate spec is noted-there is no sulfate in FeC13 also it states that payment shall be made at the price bid on the basis of dry tonnage of FeC13 contained in the liquid delivered.
- Answer 7. The price bid shall be on **the basis of tonnage of Trivalent iron contained in ferric.**
- Question 8. On section 3.8 - the same comment is made on the payment terms as being dry tonnage of FeC13 contained in the liquid delivered.
- Answer 8. The price bid shall be on **the basis of tonnage of Trivalent iron contained in ferric.**
- Question 9. In section 3.8 a sulfate spec with a max of 5% is noted. Does PWD have a protocol for testing sulfates on a regular basis to insure compliance at all times and if so, what is the frequency for testing?
- Answer 9. PWD has a method for testing sulfated and will conduct testing under its vendor.

- Question 10. In the specification section (after page 81 of the bid documents) there is a specification #3.2 for Liquid Aluminum Sulfate. However this chemical is not listed in the earlier requirements section or price proposal page. Are you bidding this product at this time or will you be in the future?
- Answer 10. Liquid Aluminum Sulfate is not being bid at this time.
- Question 11. In regards to bid delivery: are your offices open on February 15, 2010, President’s Day?
- Answer 11. The City of Philadelphia’s offices will be closed in honor of President’s Day on February 15, 2010.
- Question 12. Item 3.10 - These bid specifications note material shall comply with AWWA Standard B703-00. Further down it is also noted “Straw yellow shall be determined as a material with maximum 100 units in accordance with method 2120B, visual comparison method.” AWWA Standard B703-00 was amended in 2008 and is now B703a-08. The color maximum of 100 was increased to a maximum of 200. Will you accept Fluorosilicic Acid with a color above 100?
- Answer 12. The City will accept Fluoride that meets the most current AWWA Spec.
- Question 13. In section 3.8.1 – it states there shall be a maximum of 5.0% by weight Sulfate (SO4). We would like clarification that PWD is in fact discussing as sulfate and not as sulfur.
- Answer 13. Please refer to water treatment specifications:
There shall be a maximum of 5.0% by weight Sulfate (SO4).
- The City of Philadelphia will NOT entertain any additional questions at this time.**

Please sign, date and return this addendum with your bid to the Procurement Department, 1401 J.F.K Boulevard, Bid Room 170A, Philadelphia, PA 19102-1685 as it now becomes a part of the proposal.

Buyer, D. Isaac

AUTHORIZED SIGNATURE

FIRM NAME (PRINT)

DATE

DI/mv